# **Phase-Front Solutions and Instabilities in Forced Oscillations**

Christian Elphick,<sup>1</sup> Aric Hagberg,<sup>2</sup> and Ehud Meron<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro de Fisica No Lineal y Sistemas Complejos de Santiago, Casilla 17122, Santiago, Chile <sup>2</sup>Theoretical Division and Center for Nonlinear Studies, MSB284, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos,

NM 87545

<sup>3</sup>The Blaustein Institute for Desert Research and the Physics Department, Ben-Gurion University, Sede Boker Campus 84990, Israel

## Abstract

We study extended oscillatory systems that respond to uniform periodic forcing at one quarter of the forcing frequency. We find a new type of front instability where a stationary front shifting the oscillation phase by  $\pi$  decomposes into a pair of traveling fronts each shifting the phase by  $\pi/2$ . The instability designates a transition from standing two-phase patterns, involving alternating domains with a phase shift of  $\pi$ , to traveling four-phase patterns. A generalization of the instability to higher resonances is conjectured.

29 July 1999

## **I** INTRODUCTION

Recent experiments on the oscillating Belousov-Zhabotinsky (BZ) reaction, subjected to timeperiodic illumination, show a variety of multi-phase patterns [1]. When the forcing (illumination) frequency is roughly twice the reaction's natural frequency (2:1 resonance) two-phase patterns appear. They consist of alternating domains with oscillation phases shifted by  $\pi$ . Three-phase patterns appear in the 3:1 resonance and four-phase patterns in the 4:1 resonance [2]. The theory of forced oscillations within the 2:1 resonance and close to the Hopf bifurcation is well established [3, 4, 5, 6]. Front solutions that shift the phase by  $\pi$ , hereafter " $\pi$ -fronts", undergo a pitchfork bifurcation as the forcing strength is decreased: a stationary  $\pi$ -front loses stability to a pair of stable counter-propagating front solutions. The bifurcation, known as the nonequilibrium Ising-Bloch (NIB) bifurcation, designates a transition from standing two-phase patterns to traveling two-phase patterns [7, 8, 9, 10]. The NIB bifurcation appears in bistable non-oscillatory systems as well. Examples include liquid crystals subjected to rotating magnetic fields [11, 12, 13, 14], the ferrocyanide-iodate-sulfite reaction [15, 16], and catalytic surface reactions [17].

Stationary  $\pi$ -fronts exist in higher even resonances, 4:1, 4:3, 6:1 etc., and are stable for large enough forcing strengths. These higher resonances possess multiple stable phase states, four in the 4:1 and 4:3 resonances, six in the 6:1 resonance, and so on. Yet, in the parameter range where the  $\pi$ -fronts are stable, two-phase patterns prevail. Patterns involving all stable phases, like the four-phase spiral in Fig. 4a, appear only when  $\pi$ -fronts become unstable. We have studied this instability and found it is a degenerate instability where a stationary  $\pi$ -front in a 2n:1 resonance (n > 1) decomposes into n traveling  $\pi/n$  fronts, each shifting the oscillation phase by  $\pi/n$ . The instability designates a transition from standing two-phase patterns to traveling n-phase patterns.

We analyze the decomposition instability in detail for the 4:1 resonance. Consider an extended system that is close to a Hopf bifurcation and externally forced with a frequency about four times larger than the Hopf frequency. The set of dynamical fields **u** describing the spatio-temporal state of the system (e.g. set of concentrations in the BZ reaction) can be written as  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 A \exp\left(i\frac{\omega_f}{4}t\right) + c.c. + \dots$ , where  $\mathbf{u}_0$  is constant, A is a slowly varying complex amplitude,  $\omega_f$  is the forcing frequency and the ellipses denote smaller contributions. The equation for the amplitude A can be written in the following standard form (after rescaling and shifting  $\arg(A)$  by a constant phase) [18, 19, 20, 21]:

$$A_{\tau} = (\mu + i\nu)A + (1 + i\alpha)A_{zz} - (1 - i\beta)|A|^2A + \gamma_4 A^{*3}, \qquad (1)$$

where the subscripts  $\tau$  and z denote partial derivatives with respect to time and space, and all the parameters are real. The proximity to the Hopf bifurcation implies  $\mu << 1$ . We will also be using the following form of Eq. (1) obtained by rescaling time, space, and amplitude as  $t = \mu \tau$ ,  $x = \sqrt{\mu/2z}$ , and  $B = A/\sqrt{\mu}$ :

$$B_t = (1+i\nu_0)B + \frac{1}{2}(1+i\alpha)B_{xx} - (1-i\beta)|B|^2B + \gamma_4 B^{*3}, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\nu_0 = \nu/\mu$ .

#### II $\Pi$ AND $\Pi/2$ -FRONT SOLUTIONS

Consider first the gradient version of Eq. (2) obtained by setting  $\nu_0 = \alpha = \beta = 0$ :

$$B_t = B + \frac{1}{2}B_{xx} - |B|^2 B + \gamma_4 B^{*3}.$$
(3)

Equation (3) has four stable phase states for  $0 < \gamma_4 < 1$  shown by solid circles in Fig. 1:  $B_{\pm 1} = \pm \lambda$ and  $B_{\pm i} = \pm i\lambda$ , where  $\lambda = 1/\sqrt{1 - \gamma_4}$  [22]. Front solutions connecting pairs of these states divide into two groups,  $\pi$ -fronts and  $\pi/2$ -fronts. The  $\pi$ -fronts, shown in Fig. 1 as solid lines, are given by

$$B_{-1\to+1} = B_{+1} \tanh x$$
,  $B_{-i\to+i} = B_{+i} \tanh x$ . (4)

The  $\pi/2$ -fronts are shown in Fig. 1 by the dashed curves. For the particular parameter value  $\gamma_4 = 1/3$  they have the simple forms

$$B_{+1\to+i} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left[1+i-(1-i)\tanh x\right], \qquad B_{-1\to-i} = -B_{+1\to+i},$$
$$B_{-i\to+1} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left[1-i+(1+i)\tanh x\right], \qquad B_{+i\to-1} = -B_{-i\to+1},$$

Additional front solutions follow from the invariance of Eq. (3) under reflection,  $x \to -x$ . For example, the symmetric counterparts of  $B_{+i\to+1}(x)$  and  $B_{+1\to-i}(x)$  are  $B_{+1\to+i}(x) = B_{+i\to+1}(-x)$  and  $B_{-i\to+1}(x) = B_{+1\to-i}(-x)$ .



FIG. 1: Uniform states and front solutions of Eq. (3) in the complex *B* plane. The dots represent the four spatially uniform phase states. The solid lines are the  $\pi$  front solutions and the dashed lines are the  $\pi/2$  fronts. The thin lines in the circle are phase portraits of front solutions at successive time steps showing the collapse of a  $\pi$  front into a pair of  $\pi/2$  fronts.

Consider now the nongradient system (2). The main effect of small nongradient terms is to make the  $\pi/2$ -fronts traveling. The nongradient terms have no effect on the  $\pi$ -fronts which remain stationary. To see this assume a traveling solution B(x - ct) of Eq. (2) and project this equation on the translational mode B'. For  $\pi$ -fronts the resulting condition gives c = 0. For  $\pi/2$ -fronts with  $\gamma_4 = 1/3$  we find  $|c| = \frac{3}{2}(\nu_0 - \beta)$ . A perturbation analysis around  $\gamma_4 = 1/3$  shows that this expression for the speed remains valid for small deviations of  $\gamma_4$  from 1/3.

### **III THE DECOMPOSITION INSTABILITY**

The  $\pi$ -fronts (4) are similar to the stationary  $\pi$ -front in the 2:1 resonance and like the latter become unstable as the forcing strength  $\gamma_4$  is decreased. Stability analysis of the  $\pi$ -fronts indicates that the instability occurs at  $\gamma_4 = 1/3$ . The nature of the instability, however, is quite different from the NIB pitchfork bifurcation. It is a degenerate instability leading to asymptotic solutions that are not smooth continuations of the unstable stationary  $\pi$ -fronts. Figure 2(left) shows a space-time plot of arg (A) obtained by numerical solution of Eq. (1). The initial unstable  $\pi$ -front decomposes into a pair of  $\pi/2$ -fronts traveling to the right or to the left depending on initial conditions. Along with the  $\pi$ -front decomposition an intermediate phase state (the grey domain) appears. This behavior is found *arbitrarily close* to the instability point, and in this sense the new solutions are not smooth continuations of the  $\pi$ -front solution. To demonstrate the decomposition instability in a reaction-diffusion system we show in Fig. 2(right) a simulation of a forced FitzHugh-Nagumo model ([23]) exhibiting the same behavior as the amplitude equation (1).

We analyze first the gradient version (3). Introducing the new variables U = Re(B) + Im(B) and



FIG. 2: Space-time plots demonstrating the decomposition instability in the 4:1 resonance. An unstable  $\pi$ -front decomposes into a pair of  $\pi/2$ -fronts traveling to the left (a) or to the right (b). The  $\pi/2$ -fronts enclose grey colored domains whose oscillation phases are shifted by  $\pi/2$  with respect to the black and white domains. Left: Solutions of Eq. (1) showing  $\arg(A)$ . Parameters:  $\mu = 1.0$ ,  $\nu = 0.02$ ,  $\gamma_4 = 0.3$ ,  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ . Right: Solutions of the forced FitzHugh-Nagumo equation showing  $\arg(v/u)$ . Parameters:  $\epsilon = 1.9$ ,  $b_3 = 1.8 \omega_f = 4.0$ .

V = Re(B) - Im(B) Eq. (3) is written as

$$U_t = U + \frac{1}{2}U_{xx} - \frac{2}{3}U^3 - \frac{d}{2}(U^2 - 3V^2)U, \qquad (5a)$$

$$V_t = V + \frac{1}{2}V_{xx} - \frac{2}{3}V^3 - \frac{d}{2}(V^2 - 3U^2)V, \qquad (5b)$$

where  $d = \gamma_4 - 1/3$ . At the instability point,  $\gamma_4 = 1/3$ , the two equations decouple (since d = 0) and admit solutions of the form  $U = \sigma_1 B_0(x - x_1)$ ,  $V = \sigma_2 B_0(x - x_2)$ , where  $B_0(x) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \tanh x$ ,  $\sigma_{1,2} = \pm 1$ , and  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are arbitrary constants. An intuitive understanding of this family of solutions can be obtained by expressing these solutions back in terms of the complex amplitude B. For  $\sigma_1 = -\sigma_2 = 1$  for example, we find

$$B(x; x_1, x_2) = B_{-i \to +1}(x - x_1) + B_{+1 \to +i}(x - x_2) - \lambda$$

When  $|x_2 - x_1| \to \infty$  this form approaches a pair of isolated  $\pi/2$ -fronts:

$$B \approx B_{-i \to +1}(x - x_1)$$
  $x \approx x_1$ , and  $B \approx B_{+1 \to +i}(x - x_2)$   $x \approx x_2$ 

When  $x_2 - x_1 = 0$  it reduces to the  $\pi$ -front  $B_{-i \to +i}$ . Defining a mean position,  $\zeta$ , and an order parameter,  $\chi$ , by

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2), \qquad \chi = \frac{1}{2}(x_2 - x_1),$$

the one-parameter family of solutions,  $\{\tilde{B}(x;\zeta,\chi) \mid \chi \in R\}$ , where  $\tilde{B}(x;\zeta,\chi) = B(x;x_1,x_2)$ , represents  $\pi/2$ -front pairs with distances,  $2\chi$ , ranging from zero to infinity.

For  $|\gamma_4 - 1/3| = |d| \ll 1$ , the weak coupling between the two equations (5a) and (5b) induces slow drift along the solution family  $B(x; x_1, x_2)$ . A pair solution is now written as

$$U = \sigma_1 B_0[x - x_1(t)] + u, \qquad V = \sigma_2 B_0[x - x_2(t)] + v, \tag{6}$$

where u and v are corrections of order d. Equations of motion for  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  or for  $\zeta$  and  $\chi$  follow by inserting these forms in Eqs. (5) and applying solvability conditions at order d:

$$\dot{\zeta} = 0, \qquad \dot{\chi} = -dV/d\chi.$$
 (7)

Typical forms of the potential V for positive and negative values of  $d = \gamma_4 - 1/3$  are shown in Fig. 3. For d = 0 V is identically zero. There is only one extremum point,  $\chi = 0$ , of V for  $d \neq 0$ . For d > 0 it is a minimum and  $\chi$  converges to zero. Pairs of  $\pi/2$ -fronts with arbitrary initial separation,  $x_2 - x_1$ , attract one another and eventually collapse to a single  $\pi$ -front ( $x_1 = x_2$  or  $\chi = 0$ ). In practice, the collapse process is noticeable only for relatively small separations. For d < 0 the extremum point,  $\chi = 0$ , is a maximum and  $\chi$  diverges to  $\pm \infty$ . A  $\pi$ -front decomposes into a pair of  $\pi/2$ -fronts which repel one another as shown in Fig. 2 for the nongradient system (1). In the gradient case both  $\pi$  and  $\pi/2$ -fronts are stationary (in the absence of interactions). Since the potential  $V(\chi)$  becomes practically flat at finite  $\chi$ values, the pair of  $\pi/2$ -fronts do not further depart from one another at long times.



FIG. 3: The potential  $V(\chi)$ . (a) For d > 0 the extremum at  $\chi = 0$  is a minimum and  $\chi$  converges to 0 (a  $\pi$ -front). (b) For d < 0 the extremum is a maximum and  $\chi$  diverges to  $\pm \infty$  (isolated pair of  $\pi/2$ -fronts).

Figure 1 shows the decomposition process of a  $\pi$ -front in the complex B plane. Starting with the  $B_{-1 \rightarrow +1} \pi$ -front, represented by the thick solid phase portrait, the time evolution (thin solid phase portraits) is toward the fixed point  $B_{+i}$  and the dashed phase portraits representing the pair of  $\pi/2$ -fronts

 $B_{+1\to+i}$  and  $B_{+i\to-1}$ . Because of the parity symmetry  $\chi \to -\chi$ , an appropriate perturbation of the initial  $B_{-1\to+1} \pi$ -front could have led the dynamics toward the pair  $B_{+1\to-i}$  and  $B_{-i\to-1}$ . Notice that for d = 0,  $\dot{\zeta} = 0$ ,  $\dot{\chi} = 0$ , and we recover the two-parameter family of pair solutions  $B(x;\zeta,\chi)$  with arbitrary  $\zeta$  and  $\chi$ .

The derivation of Eqs. (7) can easily be extended to the nongradient case assuming  $\nu_0$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are small. The  $\chi$  equation remains unchanged. The  $\zeta$  equation takes the form

$$\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\dot{\zeta} = \nu F_\nu(\chi) + \alpha F_\alpha(\chi) + \beta F_\beta(\chi), \qquad (8)$$

where  $F_{\nu}$ ,  $F_{\alpha}$ , and  $F_{\beta}$  are odd functions of  $\chi$  and do not vanish when d = 0 [24]. When  $|\chi| \to \infty$  the right hand side of Eq. (8) converges to  $\frac{3}{2}(\nu_0 - \beta)$ , the speed of a  $\pi/2$ -front solution of Eq. (2). The  $\chi = 0$  solution (representing a  $\pi$ -front) remains stationary ( $\dot{\zeta} = 0$ ) in the nongradient case as well. At  $\gamma_4 = 1/3$  (d = 0) it loses stability and decomposes into a pair of  $\pi/2$ -fronts which approach the asymptotic speed  $\frac{3}{2}(\nu_0 - \beta)$ .

The degeneracy of solutions at  $\gamma_4 = 1/3$  is lifted by adding higher order terms to the amplitude equation (2). These terms are smaller by a factor of  $\mu \ll 1$  than the terms appearing in (2) and their effect is noticeable only in a  $\mu$ -neighborhood of  $\gamma_4 = 1/3$ . Apart from this small parameter range the overall behavior does not change [24].

Numerical studies of amplitude equations for higher resonances suggest the existence of  $\pi$ -front decomposition instabilities in 2n: 1 resonances with n > 1. We refer the reader to Ref. [24, 25] for demonstrations of decompositions instabilities within the 6:1 and 8:1 resonances.

#### IV THE DECOMPOSITION INSTABILITY AND PATTERN DYNAMICS

The implications of the decomposition instability on pattern dynamics follow from the potentials drawn in Fig. 3 and from Eq. (8). For  $\gamma_4 > 1/3$  (d > 0) the potential has a single minimum.  $\pi/2$ -fronts attract one another and collapse into stationary  $\pi$ -fronts. As a result standing two-phase patterns are stable. When  $\gamma_4$  is decreased below 1/3 (d < 0) the potential acquires a single maximum and  $\pi/2$ -fronts repel one another. Since  $\pi/2$ -fronts travel, standing two-phase patterns destabilize into four-phase traveling waves. Figure 4 shows the destabilization of a four-phase spiral wave back into a standing two-phase pattern as  $\gamma_4$  is increased past 1/3. The destabilization begins at the spiral core where  $\pi/2$ -front interactions are strongest.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. Guckenheimer, B. Krauskopf, H.L. Swinney, A. Lin, and M. Bertram for helpful discussions. This study was supported in part by grant No. 95-00112 from the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF) and by the Department of Energy, under contract W-7405-ENG-36.



FIG. 4: Numerical solution of a two-dimensional version of Eq. (1) showing the collapse of a rotating four-phase spiral-wave into a stationary two-phase pattern. The frames show  $\arg(A)$  in the x - y plane. (a) The initial four-phase spiral wave (computed with  $\gamma_4 < 1/3$ ). (b) The spiral core, a 4-point vertex, splits into two 3-point vertices connected by a  $\pi$ -front. (c) A two-phase pattern develops as the 3-point vertices further separate. (d) The final stationary two-phase pattern. Parameters:  $\gamma_4 = 0.6$ ,  $\mu = 1$ ,  $\nu_0 = 0.1$ ,  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , x = [0, 64], y = [0, 64].

# REFERENCES

- [1] V. Petrov, Q. Ouyang, and H. L. Swinney, Nature 388, 655 (August 1997).
- [2] A. Lin and H. L. Swinney, Center for Nonlinear Dynamics, UT Austin, personal communication.
- [3] P. Coullet, J. Lega, B. Houchmanzadeh, and J. Lajzerowicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 1352 (1990).
- [4] P. Coullet and K. Emilsson, Physica D 61, 119 (1992).
- [5] B. A. Malomed and A. A. Nepomnyashcy, Europhys. Lett. 27, 649 (1994).
- [6] D. Walgraef, Spatio-Temporal Pattern Formation (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997).
- [7] A. Hagberg and E. Meron, Nonlinearity **7**, 805 (1994), http://math.lanl.gov/People/aric/Papers/frontbif/.
- [8] H. Ikeda, M. Mimura, and Y. Nishiura, Nonl. Anal. TMA 13, 507 (1989).
- [9] M. Bode, A. Reuter, R. Schmeling, and H.-G. Purwins, Phys. Lett. A 185, 70 (1994).
- [10] C. Elphick, A. Hagberg, E. Meron, and B. Malomed, Phys. Lett. A 230, 33 (1997), http://math.lanl.gov/People/aric/Papers/forced/.
- [11] K. B. Migler and R. B. Meyer, Physica D 71, 412 (1994).
- [12] T. Frisch, S. Rica, P. Coullet, and J. M. Gilli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72(10), 1471 (1994).
- [13] S. Nasuno, N. Yoshimo, and S. Kai, Phys. Rev. E 51, 1598 (1995).
- [14] T. Frisch and J. M. Gilli, J. Phys. II France 5, 561 (1995).
- [15] K. J. Lee and H. L. Swinney, Phys. Rev. E 51(3), 1899 (1995).
- [16] D. Haim, G. Li, Q. Ouyang, W. D. McCormick, H. L. Swinney, A. Hagberg, and E. Meron, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77(1), 190 (July 1996), http://math.lanl.gov/People/aric/Papers/breathing/.

- [17] G. Haas, M. Bär, I. G. Kevrekidis, P. B. Rasmussen, H.-H. Rotermund, and G.Ertl, Phys Rev. Lett. 75, 3560 (1995).
- [18] A. C. Newell, in *Lectures in Applied Mathematics* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1974), vol. 15, p. 157.
- [19] J. M. Gambaudo, J. Diff. Eq. 57, 172 (1985).
- [20] C. Elphick, G. Iooss, and E. Tirapegui, Phys. Lett. A 120, 459 (1987).
- [21] M. C. Cross and P. C. Hohenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 65(3), 851 (1993).
- [22] B. Krauskopf, *On the 1:4 Resonance Problem*, Ph.D. thesis, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen (June 1995).
- [23] The model is  $u_t = u (1 + b_3 \sin(\omega_f t))u^3 v + u_{xx}, v_t = \epsilon(u v/2).$
- [24] C. Elphick, A. Hagberg, and E. Meron, Phys. Rev. E **59**(5), 5285 (1999), http://math.lanl.gov/People/aric/Papers/fcgl2/.
- [25] C. Elphick, A. Hagberg, and E. Meron, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**(22), 5007 (1998), http://math.lanl.gov/People/aric/Papers/fcgl/.